
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

DAVID W. FONTENOT,

Petitioner,

versus

JODY UPTON,

Respondent.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:11-CV-234

**MEMORANDUM ORDER OVERRULING PETITIONER’S OBJECTIONS AND
ADOPTING THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE’S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

Petitioner David W. Fontenot, an inmate confined at the Federal Correctional Complex in Beaumont, Texas, proceeding *pro se*, brought this petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241.

The court referred this matter to the Honorable Zack Hawthorn, United States Magistrate Judge, at Beaumont, Texas, for consideration pursuant to applicable laws and orders of this court. The magistrate judge recommends that the above-styled petition should be denied.

The court has received and considered the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge, along with the record, pleadings and all available evidence. Petitioner filed objections to the magistrate judge’s Report and Recommendation. This requires a *de novo* review of the objections in relation to the pleadings and the applicable law. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b).

After careful consideration, the court concludes petitioner’s objections are without merit. As the magistrate judge determined, federal courts will not review a disciplinary hearing officer’s factual findings *de novo*, instead the courts will only consider whether the decision is supported by “some facts” or by “a modicum of evidence.” *Superintendent v. Hill*, 472 U.S. 445, 454-55

(1985); *Gibbs v. King*, 779 F.2d 1040, 1046 (5th Cir. 1986), *cert. denied*, 476 U.S. 1117 (1986).

“Prison disciplinary proceedings are overturned only where no evidence in the record supports the decision.” *Broussard v. Johnson*, 253 F.3d 874, 877 (5th Cir. 2001). Here, there was sufficient evidence in the record to support the decision. Accordingly, petitioner’s objections are without merit and should be overruled.

ORDER

Accordingly, petitioner’s objections are **OVERRULED**. The findings of fact and conclusions of law of the magistrate judge are correct, and the report of the magistrate judge is **ADOPTED**. A final judgment will be entered in this case in accordance with the magistrate judge’s recommendation.

Signed this date.

Jul 8, 2014



MARCIA A. CRONE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE